UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,542	08/02/2006	Paola Campestrini	253233	9803
	7590 05/09/200 C& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			VAUGHAN II, JUAN E	
	TH STETSON AVENUE O, IL 60601-6731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/583,542	CAMPESTRINI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JUAN E. VAUGHAN II	1795			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Febru</u>	iary 14 2008				
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· ·		dication				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-0 and 0-72</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
ا ا	ciaiii(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The amendment filed February 14, 2008 has been entered and fully considered.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 remain pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicants regard as their invention.
- 6. Evidence that claims 1-12 fail to correspond in scope with that which applicants regard as the invention is based on the inclusion of "measured according to ISO 4288" in claims 1-6 and 8-12. Applicants' use of the aforementioned phrase can be construed to be a part of the claimed invention.
- 7. Claim Rejections 35 USC § 102/35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1774

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over TOMITA et al (US 2002/0182538 A1).
 - a. With respect to claims 1 and 8, TOMITA et al. disclose a lithographic printing plate precursor comprising an aluminum support having formed thereon an anodic oxide film (grained and anodized aluminum support), and an image-forming layer (heat sensitive

Art Unit: 1774

layer) containing a light/heat converting agent or a light-sensitive layer capable of imageforming with infrared laser exposure provided on the anodic oxide film ([0025] & [0029]). The heat sensitive layer described therein is further characterized by not particularly being restricted so long as it is a heat-sensitive layer capable of forming an image by infrared laser exposure. For instance, a heat-sensitive layer containing a fine particle polymer having a heat-reactive functional group or microcapsules containing a compound having a heat-reactive functional group, and a heat-sensitive layer containing an infrared absorber and a high molecular compound insoluble in water but soluble in an alkali aqueous solution, whose solubility in an alkali developing solution changes and becomes to be capable of writing by infrared laser exposure can be exemplified ([0329]). TOMITA et al. further disclose the heat sensitive layers having dissolution inhibitors ([0392]), of which preferred examples include polymers comprising siloxane and/or perfluoroalkyl units according to the present invention. Additionally, the positive heat sensitive elements with aluminum supports are disclosed as having Ra values of 0.1 to $0.5 \mu m$ ([0090]) and aluminum oxide is disclosed at $1-10 g/m^2$, preferably $1.5-7 g/m^2$ ([0305]). TOMITA et al. also disclose a sealing treatment to seal the pores of the oxide film with a metallic oxide, a hydroxide or a salt (hydrophilic surface comprising a salt of titanium, hafnium, or zirconium). Examples of the sealing agents include potassium hexafluorotitanate and sodium hexafluorozirconate, which meet the limitations of the salt of titanium, hafnium, or zirconium comprising the hydrophilic surface in the present invention ([0117]-[0119]). Additionally, TOMITA et al. disclose the process for the synthesis of an alkali-soluble resin containing siloxane structure ([0392]). This siloxane containing resin is present in the light sensitive coating of the examples [0391]. Particularly, TOMITA et al. disclose MCR-E11 as an epoxy type terminal reactive silicon ([0392]). The chemical name for MCR-Ell is mono-(2,3-epoxy)propylether terminated polydimethylsiloxane. Thus, MCR-E11 meets the limitations of a graft-polymer of a poly(alkylene oxide) comprising siloxane. Although TOMITA et al. are silent with respect to the formation of a separate layer containing the siloxane resin, it is the examiner's position that the siloxane resin will form a separate layer because chemical compositions are inseparable from their characteristics (MPEP 2112).

Art Unit: 1774

b. With respect to claim 2, as discussed above, examples of sealing agents which meet

the limitations of the salt of titanium, hafnium, or zirconium can further include fluoride,

such as potassium hexafluorotitanate and sodium hexafluorozirconate ([0119]).

c. With respect to claim 3, TOMITA et al. ([0117]-[0128]) disclose adding phosphorous

compounds to the treating solution. Several salts of phosphoric acid are disclosed as

representative sealing agents in paragraphs [0121] and [0124]. It is known to one of

ordinary skill in the art that an orthophosphate is a crystal or salt of phosphoric acid.

d. With respect to claim 4, as discussed above, TOMITA et al. ([0090]) disclose the

hydrophilic surface with a surface roughness between 0.1 and 0.5 µm. The Ra value of

0.3 µm falls within the range taught by TOMITA et al.

e. With respect to claims 5 and 6, as discussed above, TOMITA et al. disclose aluminum

support comprising aluminum oxide in amounts of 1 to 10 g/m², preferably 1.5-7g/m²

([0305]). This range provided by TOMITA et al. meets the limitations of claims 5 and 6.

f. With respect to claims 7 and 8,

g. With respect to claim 9, TOMITA et al. ([0175]) disclose another dissolution inhibitor

meeting the current limitations for the same, which is an organic compound comprising

an aromatic group and a hydrogen bonding site. Specific examples disclosed in TOMITA

et al. that meet the above limitation include triiospropylnapthalenesulfonic acid and 2,5-

dimethylbenzenesulfonic acid.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,542

Art Unit: 1774

h. With respect to claim 10, TOMITA et al. teach: for further sensitivity, cyclic acid anhydrides, phenols, and organic acids can be used in the light sensitive layer ([0177]) which meets the present limitations for the dissolution accelerator. Specific examples include phthalic anhydride, bisphenpol, and p-toluenesulfonic acid.

Page 6

i. With respect to claims 11, TOMITA et al. ([0107]) disclose a metal support having formed thereon an anodic oxide (graining and anodizing an aluminum support), ([0117-0119]) a sealing treatment with a metallic oxide, a hydroxide, or salt (treating said grained and anodized aluminum support with a solution comprising a salt of titanium, hafnium, and zirconium), ([0329]) a heat-sensitive layer containing an infrared absorber and a high molecular compound whose solubility in an alkali developing solution changes and becomes to be capable of writing by infrared laser exposure (heat-sensitive oleophilic coating comprising a hydrophobic polymer), image exposure development ([0276]-[0279]), (image-wise exposing said heat-sensitive coating to infrared light or heat, and developing said image-wise exposed heat-sensitive coating), ([0175]) a thermal positive type image-forming layer with a compound in combination which lowers the solubility of the high molecular compounds (positive-working lithographic printing plate precursor and dissolution inhibitor), ([0090]) a mechanical surface treatment for obtaining surface roughness of 0.1 to 0.5 µm (surface roughness less than 0.4 µm). As discussed in claim 1 above, TOMITA et al. disclose the process for the synthesis of an alkali-soluble resin containing siloxane structure ([0392]). This siloxane containing resin is present in the light sensitive coating of the examples [0391]. Particularly, TOMITA et al. disclose MCR-E11 as an epoxy type terminal reactive silicon ([0392]). The chemical name for MCR-Ell is mono-(2,3-epoxy)propylether terminated polydimethylsiloxane. Thus, MCR-E11 meets the limitations of a graft-polymer of a poly(alkylene oxide) comprising siloxane. Although TOMITA et al. are silent with respect to the formation of a separate layer containing the siloxane resin, it is the examiner's position that the siloxane resin will form a separate layer because chemical compositions are inseparable from their characteristics (MPEP 2112).

Art Unit: 1774

TOMITA et al. disclose all of the limitations of the presently claimed application. However, in the alternative, the presently claimed application would have been obvious in light of all that TOMITA teaches.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed on February 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, applicant argues that presence of the ISO standard in the claim is analogous to a unit of measure set forth immediately after recitation of a numerical range and that the inclusion of a well-established, standardized test in a claim is not improper. The examiner disagrees. Applicant's presumption that the presence of the ISO standard in the claim is analogous to a unit of measure set forth immediately after recitation of a numerical range is incorrect. "Units of measure" measure dimensions or properties of matter or an object. As used in the claim, "measured according to ISO 4288" suggests that the surface roughness of the hydrophilic surface must be measured according to this particular standard in order to have the same properties or to perform in the same manner. Applicant is advised to submit an additional reference explaining how the standard is recognized for claims 1-6 and 8-12.
- 14. With respect to the anticipation rejection, applicant argues that TOMITA does not disclose or suggest the preparation of the claimed invention which includes a water repellant polymer as a dissolution inhibitor, nor the inclusion of a block or graft copolymer containing polyalkylene oxide and polysiloxane or fluoroalkyl. The examiner disagrees. TOMITA et al. disclose the process for the synthesis of an alkali-soluble resin containing siloxane structure

Art Unit: 1774

([0392]). This siloxane containing resin is present in the light sensitive coating of the examples [0391]. Particularly, TOMITA et al. disclose MCR-E11 as an epoxy type terminal reactive silicon ([0392]). The chemical name for MCR-Ell is mono-(2,3-epoxy)propylether terminated polydimethylsiloxane. Thus, MCR-E11 meets the limitations of a graft-polymer of a poly(alkylene oxide) comprising siloxane. Although TOMITA et al. are silent with respect to the formation of a separate layer containing the siloxane resin, it is the examiner's position that the siloxane resin will form a separate layer because chemical compositions are inseparable from their characteristics. TOMITA teaches the same chemical composition as instantly claimed and the composition is applied to a substrate and would be expected to separate from the rest of the coating material as it is the same composition applicant claims, absent any evidence to the contrary. (MPEP 2112, In re Best)

Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUAN E. VAUGHAN II whose telephone number is (571)270-

5125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JEV II

/Cynthia H Kelly/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795